Sociolinguistic Variation and Automatic Speech Recognition: Challenges and Approaches

Dr. Rachael Tatman



Who am I?

- Dr. Rachael Tatman
- PhD in Linguistics (2017): Modeling the Perceptual Learning of Novel Dialect Features
 - Commercial automatic speech recognition systems were less accurate for some demographic groups
 - Humans use non linguistic information when adapting to a new dialect
 - Machine learning systems that do the same show a human-like pattern of errors
- Afterwards:
 - 2017 2019: Data scientist at Kaggle
 - 2020 now: Senior Developer Advocate at Rasa



Gustav the Hedgehog 🙆



 Why do automatic speech recognition (ASR) systems struggle with language variation? • What are some ways of accounting for it?

Language Variation

- All language use is shaped by its social context
- Many demographic factors are linked to systematic variation in speech, including:
 - Gender
 - Regional Origin
 - Age
 - Socio-economic status/Social class
 - Race/ethnicity
- Failure to account for these differences results in different system performance across groups





"American English" by Wolfram and Schilling-Estes is a nice introduction

@rctatman

Copyrighted Material

Language Variation

- All language use is shaped by its social context
- Many demographic factors are linked to systematic variation in speech, including:
 - Gender
 - Regional Origin
 - Age
 - Socio-economic status/Social class
 - Race/ethnicity
- Failure to account for these differences results when building ASR systems in different system performance across groups



Copyrighted Material



"American English" by Wolfram and Schilling-Estes is a nice introduction

How does this happen?

- Most modern language technology is built using machine learning
 - Rule-based methods = learning from hand-built rules
 - Machine learning methods = learning from lots of examples
- If you have fewer examples from a specific group then your model won't be as accurate for them
 - Where is the center of this cluster of dots?



How does this happen?

- Most modern language technology is built using machine learning
 - Rule-based methods = learning from hand-built rules
 - Machine learning methods = learning from lots of examples
- If you have fewer examples from a specific group then your model won't be as accurate for them
 - Where is the center of this cluster of dots?



How does automatic speech recognition work?

- Dictionary:
 - A hand-written guide to what sounds are in each word
- Language model:
 - A statistical model of how common words & phrases are
 - Currently a very fast-moving area of research
- Acoustic model:
 - Statistical model mapping signal to speech (sounds or words)



Lamere, P., Kwok, P., Gouvea, E., Raj, B., Singh, R., Walker, W., ... & Wolf, P. (2003, April). The CMU SPHINX-4 speech recognition system. In *IEEE Intl. Conf. on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP 2003), Hong Kong* (Vol. 1, pp. 2-5).

There's a difference in accuracy for men and women (Tatman 2017)...



Tatman, R. (2017, April). Gender and dialect bias in YouTube's automatic captions. In *Proceedings of the First ACL Workshop on Ethics in Natural Language Processing* (pp. 53-59).

But only when signal quality is not controlled for (Tatman & Kasten 2017)



Tatman, R., & Kasten, C. (2017, August). Effects of Talker Dialect, Gender & Race on Accuracy of Bing Speech and YouTube Automatic Captions. In *INTERSPEECH* (pp. 934-938).

There's a difference in accuracy for men and women (Tatman 2017)...



But only when signal quality is not controlled for (Tatman & Kasten 2017)



Dialect region



Tatman 2017, higher is better



Tatman & Kasten 2017, lower is better

Ethnicity?

- African American English consistently has a higher error rate when systems are trained only on Standard American English (Tatman & Kasten 2017, Dorn 2019)
- Systems trained on AAE had more than a 16.6% improvement in error rate for AAE speech (Dorn 2019)



Language varieties vary systematically. Any automated system trained predominately on one variety will not work as well for other varieties.

 Why do automatic speech recognition (ASR) systems struggle with language variation? • What are some ways of accounting for it?

Some Approaches

- Training multiple models
- Multi-accent models
- Adapting a single model
- Adding more data



Created by Product Pencil from Noun Project

Training multiple models

- Train a separate model for each dialect & select the correct model for the talker
 - Accent-specific pronunciation modelling (Humphries et al., 1996)
 - Unsupervised model selection for recognition of regional accented speech (Najafian et al., 2014)
- Downsides:
 - Using extra-linguistic data requires collecting potentially sensitive personal data
 - Basically a social category detector



FIG. 4

Biadsy, Fadi, Lidia Mangu, and Hagen Soltau. "Dialect-specific acoustic language modeling and speech recognition." U.S. Patent Application No. 15/972,719.

@rctatman

400

Multi-accent models

- Multitask learning (jointly training both an accent identifier and acoustic model)
 - Towards acoustic model unification across dialects (Elfeky et al 2016)
 - Improved Accented Speech Recognition Using Accent Embeddings and Multi-task Learning (Jain et al, 2018)
- Mixture of experts (one classifies speech sounds, one classifies accents)
 - A Multi-Accent Acoustic Model using Mixture of Experts for Speech Recognition (Jain, Singh & Rath, 2019)
- You're still building an accent detector



Ratner, Hancock & Ré, Emerging Topics in Multi-Task Learning Systems

Speaker Adaptation

- Adapt the acoustic model for each speaker
- Examples:
 - MAP (Gauvain and Lee, 1994)
 - MLLR (Anastasakos et al., 1997)
 - Eigenvoices(Botterweck, 2000)
 - i-Vectors for neural nets (Saon et al, 2013)
- Downsides:
 - Expensive & slow
 - Need to correctly identify the speaker
 - If initial model is poor fit for group, adapted models will also be less good for that group :(



Nallasamy, U. (2016). Adaptation techniques to improve ASR performance on accented speakers (Doctoral dissertation, Carnegie Mellon University).

More data!

- Corpus of Regional African American Language (Kendall & Farrington, 2018)
 - Audio & transcriptions of 140 sociolinguistic interviews
 - Free & open source (CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0)
- Common Voice (Mozilla foundation)
 - 4,257 hours of speech in 40 languages, (many recordings include demographic metadata like age, sex, and accent
 - Free & open source (CC-0)
 - Crowd-powered: you can help by donating recordings or checking transcriptions





GOOGLE TECH

Google contractors reportedly targeted homeless people for Pixel 4 facial recognition

They need facial scans of people with darker skin

By Sean Hollister | @StarFire2258 | Oct 2, 2019, 8:46pm EDT



 Why do automatic speech recognition (ASR) systems struggle with language variation? • What are some ways of accounting for it?

Questions?

For Conversational AI Q's: r.tatman@rasa.com



Dialect	WER	Levenshtein Distance
AAVE	16.6%	18.5%
SAE	7.5%	13.7%

Table 3: Improvements in Error Rate Between Dialect-Specific Model and Combined Model

Dorn, R. (2019). Dialect-Specific Models for Automatic Speech Recognition of African American Vernacular English. In *Student Research Workshop* (pp. 16-20).

Systems evaluation -- Gender



Tatman, R., & Kasten, C. (2017, August). Effects of Talker Dialect, Gender & Race on Accuracy of Bing Speech and YouTube Automatic Captions. In *INTERSPEECH* (pp. 934-938).

Neither Bing (F[1, 34] = 1.13, p = 0.29), nor YouTube's automatic captions (F[1, 37] = 1.56, p = 0.22) had a significant difference in accuracy by gender.

Systems evaluation -- Dialect



Tatman, R., & Kasten, C. (2017, August). Effects of Talker Dialect, Gender & Race on Accuracy of Bing Speech and YouTube Automatic Captions. In *INTERSPEECH* (pp. 934-938).

Differences in WER by dialect were not robust enough to be significant for Bing (under a one way ANOVA) (F[3, 32] = 1.6, p = 0.21), but they were for YouTube's automatic captions (F[3, 35] = 3.45, p < 0.05).

Systems evaluation -- Ethnicity



Tatman, R., & Kasten, C. (2017, August). Effects of Talker Dialect, Gender & Race on Accuracy of Bing Speech and YouTube Automatic Captions. In *INTERSPEECH* (pp. 934-938).

As with dialect, differences in WER between races were not significant for Bing (F[4, 31] = 1.21, p = 0.36), but were significant for YouTube's automatic captions (F[4, 34] = 2.86,p < 0.05).